

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspfo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/863,674	05/23/2001	C. Frederick Battrell	5SMV41.1	1283
	590 09/16/2004		EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE			SIEPKE, SAMUEL P	
SUITE 6300	_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			1743	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/863,674	BATTRELL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samuel P Siefke	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayp, ar ord of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicati D (35.U.S.C. 8.133)	ion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE	<u>8/9/04</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			is
Disposition of Claims	x parte quayre, 1905 C.D. 11, 45	5 O.G. 215.	
4) Claim(s) <u>18-26</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vii irom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		xaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			
 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 		f in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		1	
	Johannou copies not received	1.	
Attachment(s)	-		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	PTO-413)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/9/04.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	e tent Application (PTO-152)	
	·		

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims **18** and **21** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Forster et al. (USPN 6,134,950).

Forster discloses a method for determining concentration of a laminar sample stream that comprises: providing a microfluidic channel (fig. 1); introducing a first fluid containing a diffusible constituent into the first inlet (fig 1, ref.30); introducing a second fluid into a second inlet (fig. 1, ref. 20); flowing the first and second fluids through channel (100) in parallel laminar flow (abstract) so that the diffusible constituents diffuse between the first fluid and the second fluid to form a single combined fluid stream which has uniform composition across the width of the microfluidic channel (col. 9, lines 41-59); varying the flow rate of the first fluid and the second fluid such that he ratio of the flow rates of the first and second fluid is not constant and the concentration of the

Art Unit: 1743

diffusible constituent in the singe combined fluid stream varies along the length of the microfluidic channel (col. 11, lines 14-25; col. 10, lines 58-64). The diffusible constituent can be soluble (col. 2 ,lines 47-56).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims **19-20** and **22-26** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forster et al. (USPN 6,134,950) in view of Weigl et al. (USPN 6,171,865).

Forster discloses a method for determining concentration of a laminar sample stream as discussed above.

Art Unit: 1743

Forster does not teach introducing a third fluid containing a particulate material into a third inlet.

Weigl teaches a method for simultaneous analyte determination that comprises introducing a third fluid into a third fluid inlet (fig. 3, ref. 25A), the third fluid (75A) and the first fluid (80) surround the second fluid (70A) in the channel, wherein the diffusible constituents diffuse into the second fluid (fig. 3, ref. 140A and 145A; col. 25, lines 24-28); thus diluting the second fluid such that the concentration of the second fluid is gradually decreased with the distance from a section of the channel where first and second fluids contact each other (fig. 3, noting all references of the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd inlets moving toward the exit port; col. 24, line 64-col. 25, line 46). The first and third fluids are introduced through a first and third inlet from a common inlet (this common inlet will be described as the channel in which all the inlets come together in Fig. 3, ref. 100). The microfluidic device is located on a chip (col. 9, lines 62-67). Measurements can be taken on any part of the microfluidic device (col. 9, lines 1-22). The diffusible constituent consists of a soluble compound, biological material (cells, proteins); (col. 10, line 48-col. 11, line 11). The fluid from the second inlet comprises undissolved particles (microbeads) (col. 14, lines 58-col. 15, line 12). Other important parts of the specification of the reference that describe the prior art or further the invention (col. 3, lines 1-16 and 55-67; col. 5; col. 6, lines 4-14; fig. 1-7; col. 7-18; col. 22, line 13-col. 26, line 39; claims 1-22). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art to modify Forster to include introducing a third fluid containing a particulate material into a third inlet in order to provide additional indicators so that multiple detections can

Art Unit: 1743

be carried out on one sample. With respect to the microbeads or biological cells being in the third fluid, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Forster to allow the microbeads or cells to be in either introduced into inlet 2 or 3 because both streams would eventually become a uniform stream. Therefore no matter where the microbeads or cells are introduced they will be distributed to the entire microfluidic channel.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel P Siefke whose telephone number is 571-272-1262. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-5:00pm.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sam P. Siefke

September 13, 2004

Jill Warden
Superviyory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700